

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Your plan to build 600 more homes plus a Massive industrial estate in (what was) a small village that has already seen truly enormous developments over the past few years goes against many of the points mentioned in your vision, as stated above. The traffic situation in Lowton is already a Huge problem, with Lane Head having one of the highest levels of poor air quality due to this, another 600 homes and industrial estate will Not benefit the population of the village, provide stress free journeys, where people can live healthy lives(traffic pollutants are Proven to result in earlier than average mortality), climate change will Not be improved with the homes built , if like the ones recently thrown up, not having been built with the new green initiative, moving away from gas, installing solar panels and waste water recovery systems, not to mention the level of flooding already Massively increased in the area, will be made far worse by converting over such a large area of green land. To mention the green land, I am led to believe the council Do Not own this and the farmer Does Not want to sell. The land is productive and there for not scrub. I feel strongly it is criminal to force the sale of this land, we are Not living in Communist China, why should people be pushed towards compulsory purchase? This goes against the final statement in your "Vision" where all voices are heard and we work together. No, tidings rough shed over the local community and farmers who own the land is most Definitely Not that! Find Another Solution! This Plan Stinks!!!
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	I'm sure, it is totally legal, as you would not out it forward otherwise. But morally Corrupt! Not being from a legal background and having a family and a full time job, I barely have time to organize my normal life, so I don't know how you expect the average person to be fully aware of legal compliance, however, it feels so very wrong to be forcing someone to sell their land to fit in with your plans. The modification required would be to scrap the plans for 600 more houses and the industrial site, redevelop brown field sites, improve the business opportunities in the town centres (many of

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

or soundness matters you have identified above.	which are now barely surviving) rethink the housing opportunities in the places that use to have popular shopping g but now stand vacant. Repurpose area already built on, do Not keep concentrating over green spaces because it is easier and more profitable. It will take more thought and planning but will benefit everyone in the end. And build houses that people can afford, not a few to meet the quota, then lots of more expensive houses. Please stop making everything easy for the developers, do what is right for the community and the people there in!
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	All of the objectives seem sound and of a positive nature, I just dont believe destroying further green (and productive) land is the way to achieve them. Find an alternative, there will be options that dont cause the level of impact this development will have on our little village.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	As mentioned above, there are alternatives, brown field, repurposing unused buildings, regeneration.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I disagree with the use of any greenbelt and protected land for development when there are so many other options available. This has happened again and again in Lowton and many if the other smaller towns and villages across the Wigan area. I disagree entirely with the suggestion of compulsory purchase for this area, there are other options and we are not, last time I checked, living in a communist state.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Do not compulsory purchase the land Do not use green belt and protected land. Use All brown field and regeneration options, plan better!
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You cannot promote healthier lifestyles and a reduction in the negative effects of air pollution and then over develop an area so much that the traffic pollution itself exceeds national safe guidelines (already, without this extra development). You cannot improve the interconnectedness for wildlife if you continue to destroy the wildlife areas and corridors. Are the new houses to be future proofed as far as green energy goes, the most recent ones thrown up in our area are not.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Brown field and repurposing. Use no further greenbelt, some towns and villages reach a saturation point, any further development totally strangulation the area, making life unpleasant and unhealthy for both the old and new residents. When this point is reached (roads unable to manage amount of traffic, high levels of air pollution, families unable to get a school place within a reasonable distance, therefore not able to use green means of getting to school,) then no further development should take place in that area. At All. It only benefits the developers financially, not the people of the community.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	There is already increased flooding in our area. This has Not been effectively managed so far. As stated, climate change will only make this worse. Then add some further concrete grass land areas and worse still. Who will fit the bill when residents property is damaged? Will we have a claim against the people who granted further development? It isn't the residents fault the over development created further flooding issues. But they will be the ones left with the problem.
Redacted modification - Please set out the	Dont build on the green belt. Dont over develop small villages to the point of strangulation. Listen to your residents and act on it.

modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Air pollution in some areas if our village already exceed the required levels. Therefore no other development can be justified or legal as this can only increase the problem
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The infrastructure for the homes already built in our area is not sound, resulting in traffic congestion, increase in poor air quality, families unable to get children in schools of walking distance, therefore resulting in more traffic on the roads, Doctors, dentists, suitable open spaces for play and relaxation. These are already under strain, therefore 600 more homes (with the extra cars, children, etc) can only make this worse. The infrastructure is not 5here and in many regards cannot be created due to the fact small roads cannot be widened to allow more traffic, where will the extra school places or bew schools go, these will require adequate outdoor space/playing fields for the children. Has any space been allocated for this?
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development in this area.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	Considering the way this has played out with the recent builds, the affordable housing allocation has not appeared to be met. Many developers have paid the council to forfeit the requirement to build affordable housing so the houses

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	can be more profitable. Or they are shared ownership or will end up being bought to rent out. This results in the people needing affordable housing missing out.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Serious research in to type of housing needed and where this is best delivered without damaging the already existing area for residents, communities and wildlife
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This would be a fret idea if it was wht actually happens. Houses should be future proofed for climate change, heating, rain water collection, solar energy. More thought needs to go into these things now.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Do better, your job not mine (i have a job already, REDACTED TEXT, are you not being paid to come up with the best plan?)

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	By building on our green spaces you are destroying the environment for both residents and wildlife, cutting off wildlife corridors and boxing in environments for animals preventing enough access to wildlife areas for food, safety, breeding. Many of our native species are under threat due to the huge destruction of habitat, hedgehogs for example. We cannot keep on building and building on these areas, we must preserve enough green spaces for the natural world as well as our own mental well being.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Dont build on the green belt and even consider areas that some class as 'scrub land' as these are very often small nature reserves for many animals. Once they are gone, they and the wildlife they sustain are gone forever. We have a responsibility to protect these environments.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Building on green spaces goes directly against this. The developments I have seen recently do not provide great amounts of green space, I dont trust that this will be high on the consideration plan, as the space would of greater financial benefit to developers if they can build another house on it.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Dont build on green spaces
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again, recent development in our area has gone completely against this policy, with many trees over 50 years plus in age, being felled for the purpose of development. Planting two new trees will not see results for carbon benefits for many many years, so seems like a gimmick of an idea. Protect what we already have instead of trying to pacify the population with an idea that wont be of benefit. I dont trust that this policy will be followed, profit always seems to come before protection of environment. And we have been lied to in meetings before, being told this wont happen then when the development is under way a few years later it us assumed that is forgotten and the development goes ahead for the greatest possible financial benefit and the worst environmental.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Dont build on green and woodland areas. Protect the environment
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Some of the green spaces already around, for example Pennington Flash, are now so popular due to dwindling green spaces to go, that they are incredibly busy, full car parks, queuing to get to, not a peaceful retreat at all. They are used as an excuse to say further development is ok as you've got Pennington Flash to go to. Also, to try to cycle to many places is incredibly dangerous due to the infrastructure of the original towns and villages, roads are narrow, too much traffic. I cannot see how to rectify this with further development.
Redacted modification - Please set out the	Dont build on green spaces

modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	With regards many of the developments in this strategy, this policy completely contradicts the aims. You cannot keep building on green spaces and wildlife corridors whilst saying you are going to preserve them. This is almost laughable, if it weren't so serious and depressing. This whole process is actually quite long winded and aggravating, you expect normal members of the public to go through each if these sections adding thoughts and ideas. The previous meetings we had for our area (2012) the majority of the local residents were ignored and the huge housing development went ahead. Enough is enough.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Dont build on green spaces. You are destroying biodiversity and animal and wildlife habitats. This effects many people both mentally and physically. How can you have a policy to protect habitat and biodiversity and the plan to build on this habitat destroying the biodiversity. It is Ludicrous!
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You are planning to compulsory purchase some productive green land to build on. Wrong, wrong, wrong! Our village is already becoming on massive housing estate which has extremely high volumes of traffic, is unsafe for pedestrians and cyclists due to narrow paths and roads and the levels of air pollution already exceeding national guidelines.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Dont build on the green belt, dont compulsory purchase land that is productive, stop causing our village to become unhealthy and unpleasant to live in, resulting in physical and mental health issues.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Flooding has already become a greater problem in our area, with climate change, more flooding danger and building on yet more green spaces this will get worse. Already some families have been unable to get I to schools close enough to walk to. Where are the new education facilities to provide

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	for 600 new families? As well a doctors etc? This infrastructure has not been provided with the most recent developments, it can only become more pronounced. Providing areas with low levels of pollution, this is already not being met in our area.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This area is already at a saturation point for satisfactory living for the present residents. No more can be accommodated here, without severe deterioration in the environment and quality of life for the present residents and local wildlife.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	A new primary school was supposed to be built to accommodate the amount of new homes from the previous expansion of our area, this has not happened. Therefore i have strong doubts about this happening now. The secondary school allowance is now becoming problematic and with 600 new homes, may local children will be unable to get into their local school of choice.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development at this site.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-P6 Health
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	The new development would impact negatively on health in our area, already exceeding pair pollution levels in some areas.

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development here
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-C4 Streets for All
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>You will not be mitigating the impact of air pollution with massive industrial estate and 600 new houses.</p> <p>Many of the roads and pavements in our area are not wide enough for safe cycling and can be very dangerous for pedestrians as well, with many heavy goods vehicles navigating narrow roads and tight corners.</p> <p>Traffic jams mean the walk to school often results in many children and their carers inhaling high levels of toxic air.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development in this area
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-C5 Walking and Cycling Network
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	As mentioned above, our narrow roads would be very difficult to introduce cycle lanes.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No further development here.
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison
Person ID	1287038
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>For a start, this land is productive and the land owner does not wish to sell, he has said he feels pressured by the council. This is Totally unacceptable in our country in this day and age.</p> <p>We have has so much development on our village the traffic is now intolerable at many times of the day, over national guidelines for air pollution. Over subscribed schools, doctors, dentists. Roads not suitable for large vehicles, unsafe for pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>Destruction of yest more green areas, putting a further strain on our local wildlife and biodiversity. Flooding already increased since previous developments, only to worsen with climate change. Who will foot the bill of the residents effected by this?</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>No further development here.</p> <p>Find an alternative, site. This land is not owned by the people wishing to develop it. Why should a decent member of our community, who makes good use of this land, be forced to sell???</p>
Family Name	Doherty
Given Name	Alison

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1287038
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA